

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/529,433	03/25/2005	Yoshinori Toumiya	09792909-6196	6644	
26263 75	90 09/18/2006		EXAM	INER	
SONNENSCH	IEIN NATH & ROSEN	DICKEY, THOMAS L			
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/529,433	TOUMIYA, YOSHINORI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas L. Dickey	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	oril 2006.					
-	action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •					
application from the International Bureau	· ·	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ad				
and and an						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/05</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- I. Group I, claim(s) 12-22, drawn to a method.
- II. Group II, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a solid-state imaging device.

The inventions listed as groups I-II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason:

The Group I "invention," represented by claim 12, is totally lacking in novelty, as it is anticipated by the same method as disclosed in Ogawa 6,104,021 (cited by applicant 5/25/05).

Ogawa discloses a method for manufacturing a solid-state imaging device comprising the steps of: forming a plurality of light-receiving portions 30 on the surface of a substrate 36; forming wirings 33 on both sides of each of said light-receiving portions 30; forming a first insulation layer 38 having a first refractive index; etching said first insulation layer 38 by using an etching mask 40 and forming a concave portion 38a above each of said light-receiving portions 30; and forming a second insulation layer 32 with a second refractive index to bury said concave portion 38a. Note figures 4, 5A-C,

Art Unit: 2826

column 5 lines 7-51, and column 7 lines 43-47. These are all the features of the Group I invention.

Because each and every feature of the Group I invention is anticipated by Ogawa, it follows that there is absolutely no feature of the Group I invention that qualifies as a "special technical feature" within the meaning of rule 13.2 (recall that rule 13.2 requires a "special technical feature" to be novel). Because there are absolutely no special technical features in the Group I invention, it follows that the Group I invention can have no special technical features that are the same or correspond to any of the special technical features of the Group II invention.

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,433 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas L. Dickey
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2826